# Bethe Ansatz solvable models out-of-equilibrium

#### Jorn Mossel

In collaboration with Jean-Sébastien Caux

University of Amsterdam

Dijon september 8 2011

#### Outline

- Introduction
- Domain wall quench for the XXZ chain
- Interaction quench for the 1D Bose gas
- Conclusion and Outlook

## **Out-of-equilibrium dynamics**

- Consider a many-body system with Hamiltonian H
- Prepare the system in a state  $\left|\psi\right\rangle\,$  that is not an eigenstate
- Unitary time evolution  $|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp(-iHt)|\psi\rangle$
- Study time evolution of observables  $\langle \psi(t) | O(x) | \psi(t) \rangle$
- Coined a "quantum quench" by Calabrese and Cardy (PRL 2006)

## Unitary time evolution in the lab

#### Quantum Newton's Cradle



#### Interacting Bose gas in a 1D optical trap



Kinoshita et al (Nature 2006)

**Time evolution: brute force** approach

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n} \langle n|\psi\rangle |n\rangle e^{-iE_{n}t}$$

$$\sum_{n} |\langle n | \psi \rangle|^2 = 1$$

$$\langle \psi(t)|O(x)|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n,m} \langle \psi|m\rangle \langle n|\psi\rangle \langle m|O(x)|n\rangle e^{i(E_m - E_n)t}$$

- Construct eigenstates 1.
- 2.
- Compute overlaps Perform the sum over eigenstates 3.
- Compute matrix elements 4.

## Domain wall as initial state

JM and J-S Caux (NJP 2010)

Bosonization approach:

Lancaster and Mitra (PRE 2011) Foster, Yuzbashyan and Altshuler (PRL 2010)

## Domain wall: setup

Initial state 
$$|\phi\rangle = |\underbrace{\downarrow \dots \downarrow}_{M} \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_{N-M}\rangle$$

Unitary time evolution governed by

$$H_{XXZ} = J \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left( S_j^- S_{j+1}^+ + S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- \right) + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z$$

#### Overlap 1

• **Recall** 
$$S_j^- = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (A+D)(\xi_k) B(\xi_j) \prod_{l=j+1}^N (A+D)(\xi_l)$$

Kitanine et al (1999)

• Hence 
$$|\phi\rangle = |\downarrow \dots \downarrow \uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{M} B(\xi_j) |0\rangle$$

Note: general initial state is difficult

### **Overlap 2**

#### • For an eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$ with rapidities $\{\lambda_j\}$

$$\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{M} \prod_{l=1}^{M} \varphi(\lambda_{l} - \xi_{k} + i\eta) \frac{\det H(\{\lambda_{j}\}, \{\xi_{j}\})}{\prod_{j > k} \varphi(\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}) \prod_{j < k} \varphi(\xi_{j} - \xi_{k})}$$

$$H_{ab} = \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b})}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b})} - \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b} + i\eta)}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b} + i\eta)}$$

Special case of Slavnov's scalar product formula (1989)

Partition function 6-vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions, Izergin (1987)

#### Homogenous limit

• In the homogenous limit  $\xi_j \rightarrow i\eta/2$ *H* is *M*-fold degenerate

• Apply l'Hôpitals rule  $H_{ab} \rightarrow \bar{H}_{ab} = \partial_{\xi_b}^{b-1} H_{ab}$ 

$$\partial_{\xi_{b}}^{n} H_{ab} = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} c_{j}^{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b})}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b})} \right)^{2j+1} - \left( \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b} + i\eta)}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} - \xi_{b} + i\eta)} \right)^{2j+1} \right\}$$
  
• Keep only the highest power term with  $c_{n/2}^{n} = n$   
• Results in  $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{M} \varphi(\lambda_{l} + i\eta/2)^{M} \frac{\det \overline{H}}{\prod_{j>k} \varphi(\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k})}$   
 $\overline{H}_{ab} = \left( \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} - i\eta/2)}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} - i\eta/2)} \right)^{b} - \left( \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_{a} + i\eta/2)}{\varphi(\lambda_{a} + i\eta/2)} \right)^{b}$ 

## **Spectral analysis**



n-string 
$$\lambda_j^n = \lambda^n + i\eta(n+1-2j)/2$$
  $j = 1 \dots n$   
 $\eta = \operatorname{acosh}(\Delta)$ 

## **Overlap M-string**

Introduce 
$$a_j \equiv \frac{\varphi'(\lambda_j + i\eta/2)}{\varphi(\lambda_j + i\eta/2)}$$
  $j = 1, \dots, M+1$   
 $\det \bar{H} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 - a_1 & \dots & a_2^M - a_1^M \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{M+1} - a_M & \dots & a_{M+1}^M - a_M^M \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{j < k} (a_j - a_k)$ 

#### Normalized overlap is

 $\frac{\langle \psi_M | \phi \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi_M | \psi_M \rangle}} = \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{M-1} \varphi(in\eta)}{\varphi(\lambda_\alpha - i\eta M/2)^M} \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(\lambda_\alpha - i\eta M/2)\varphi(\lambda_\alpha + i\eta M/2)}{N}}$ 

 (M-1,1)-string overlap is a sum of Vandermonde determinants, etc ....

## **Overlap contributions**



N=100, M=40

#### Work probability distribution

$$P(W) = \sum_{n} |\langle \phi | \Psi_n \rangle|^2 \delta(W - E_n + E_\phi)$$



Δ=1.5 N=250, M=100

#### **Relaxation: Loschmidt echo**



#### **Relaxation: Loschmidt echo**



# Thermodynamic limit

#### Summing all *M*-string overlaps

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{|\langle \psi_{\alpha}^{M} | \phi \rangle|^{2}}{\langle \psi_{\alpha}^{M} | \psi_{\alpha}^{M} \rangle} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{M-1} |\varphi(in\eta)|^{2}}{N(\varphi(\lambda - i\eta M/2)\varphi(\lambda + iM\eta/2))^{M-1}} \rho_{M}(\lambda) d\lambda$$
$$\rho_{M}(\lambda) = \frac{N}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\lambda^{M}} \theta_{M}(\lambda^{M})$$

$$\lim_{M,N\to\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{|\langle\psi_{\alpha}^{M}|\phi\rangle|^{2}}{\langle\psi_{\alpha}^{M}|\psi_{\alpha}^{M}\rangle} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-2n\eta}\right)^{2}$$

## Long time average

Long time average of an observable:
\$\overline{O}\$\overline\$ \lim\_{T \to \infty}\$ \$\overline{T}\$ \$\overline{O}\$ \$\overline{T}\$ \$\overline{O}\$ \$\overline{O}\$ \$\overline{C}\$ \$\overline

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-2n\eta} \right)^4, \qquad \Delta > 1$$
$$\eta = \operatorname{acosh}(\Delta)$$

#### Future work

• Define 
$$|\psi^M\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \langle \psi^M_\alpha |\phi\rangle |\psi^M_\alpha\rangle$$

- In the limit the thermodynamic limit the state |\u03c6<sup>M</sup>\u03c6 coincides with the kink ground state of the ferromagnetic XXZ chain
- The density matrix of the kink ground state was obtained by Motegi and Sakai (PRE 2009)

Can (M-1,1) excitations dealt with in a similar way?

# Switching off the interactions in the 1D Bose gas

JM and JS Caux (unpublished)

Related work:

Imambekov et al (PR A2009)

#### **1D Bose gas**

#### Lieb & Liniger (1963)

$$H(c) = \int_0^L dx \left\{ \partial_x \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \partial_x \Psi(x) + c \ \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \Psi(x) \Psi(x) \right\}$$

Bosonic field operators

$$[\Psi(x), \Psi^{\dagger}(y)] = \delta(x - y)$$

• c = 0 free bosons

 $\blacksquare c = \infty$  Tonks-Girardeau limit 'free fermions'

Quench:  $c > 0 \rightarrow 0$ 

# Time evolution: pair correlation

$$\langle \phi(t) | \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \Psi^{\dagger}(0) \Psi(x) \Psi(0) | \phi(t) \rangle$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{L^4} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} e^{ix(k_1 - k_3)} \langle \phi(t) | \Psi^{\dagger}_{k_1} \Psi^{\dagger}_{k_2} \Psi_{k_3} \Psi_{k_4} | \phi(t) \rangle$ 

#### Time evolution of field operator

$$e^{iH(0)t}\Psi_k^{\dagger}e^{-iH(0)t} = \Psi_k^{\dagger}e^{ik^2t}$$
  
since 
$$H(0) = \sum_k k^2\Psi_k^{\dagger}\Psi_k$$

### Time evolution: pair correlation

$$\langle \phi(t) | \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \Psi^{\dagger}(0) \Psi(x) \Psi(0) | \phi(t) \rangle$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{L^4} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} e^{ix(k_1 - k_3)} \langle \phi(t) | \Psi^{\dagger}_{k_1} \Psi^{\dagger}_{k_2} \Psi_{k_3} \Psi_{k_4} | \phi(t) \rangle$ 

$$\langle \phi(t) | \Psi_{k_1}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k_2}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k_3} \Psi_{k_4} | \phi(t) \rangle =$$

 $e^{i(k_1^2+k_2^2-k_3^2-k_4^2)t} \ _c \langle GS|\Psi_{k_1}^{\dagger}\Psi_{k_2}^{\dagger}\Psi_{k_3}\Psi_{k_4}|GS\rangle_c$ 

### Form factor approach

- Compute four-point function using form factors  $\langle GS | \Psi_{k_1}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k_2}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k_3} \Psi_{k_4} | GS \rangle = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3} \langle GS | \Psi_{k_1}^{\dagger} | n_1 \rangle \langle n_1 | \Psi_{k_2}^{\dagger} | n_2 \rangle \langle n_2 | \Psi_{k_3} | n_3 \rangle \langle n_3 | \Psi_{k_4} | GS \rangle$
- Form factors for the two-point function were consider by Caux, Calabrese and Slavnov (JSTAT 2007)

# Time evolution: pair correlation

Quench: 
$$c \to 0$$
  $(N, L = 20)$ 



# Long time average and the canonical ensemble

• Determine  $\beta$  via  $\langle \phi(0)|H_0|\phi(0)\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left\{H_0e^{-\beta H_0}\right\}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left\{e^{-\beta H_0}\right\}}$ 

# Long time average and the canonical ensemble





## Long time average and the Generalized Gibbs Ensemble (Rigol et al, 2007)

• Use a set of 'relevant' conserved charges:  $\Psi_k^{\dagger}\Psi_k$ 

$$\overline{\langle A \rangle} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left\{A \ e^{-\sum_{k} \beta_{k}} \ k^{2} \Psi_{k}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k}\right\}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left\{e^{-\sum_{k} \beta_{k}} \ k^{2} \Psi_{k}^{\dagger} \Psi_{k}\right\}}$$

Determine  $\beta_k$  from the initial conditions

- Every momentum mode acquires its own inverse temperature  $\beta_k$ 

## **Open problems**

- More overlap formulas, for instance
  - $\langle \psi(\Delta_2) | \phi(\Delta_1) \rangle$
  - $\langle \psi(\Delta) | S_{j_1}^- \dots S_{j_M}^- | 0 \rangle$
- Are there quench problems where the summation over eigenstates can be done efficiently?